

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/775,822	(02/10/2004	Alberto Naranjo Carvajal	. U 015016-4	8187
	7590	03/22/2005		EXAMINER	
WILLIAM F		-	JAGAN, MIRELLYS		
c/o LADAS & PARRY 26 WEST 61ST STREET				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NEW YORK, NY 10023			2859		
				DATE MAILED: 03/22/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/775,822	NARANJO CARVAJAL, ALBERTO					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Mirellys Jagan	2859					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status	•						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 J	une 2004.						
,— ·	s action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
Disposition of Claims							
 4) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 21-24 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 10 February 2004 is/ar Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	re: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☑ objected or b) ☑ objected of a drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Seet tion is required if the drawing(s) is object.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:						

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The listing of references in the specification is not a proper information disclosure statement. 37 CFR 1.98(b) requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office, and MPEP § 609 A(1) states, "the list may not be incorporated into the specification but must be submitted in a separate paper." Therefore, unless the references have been cited by the examiner on form PTO-892, they have not been considered.

Drawings

2. The drawings are objected to because there is a lead line without a corresponding reference numeral in figure 1, and there is a reference numeral without a corresponding lead line in figure 2. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an

Art Unit: 2859

application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: "27" as stated on page 8, line 3. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Objections

4. Claims 1-24 are objected to because of the following informalities:

In claim 1, it is not clear if the air space or the polymeric bars is what restricts transversal heat transfer in the cavity. Furthermore, it is not clear what "its" is referring to in line 3, e.g., it referring to the lateral edges of the cavity, blocks, or bodies? The structure of the measurement cell is not clear as claimed due to the run-on language used in the claim.

Application/Control Number: 10/775,822 Page 4

Art Unit: 2859

Claims 2-9 and 24 are not clear since they appear to be claiming a second cavity in the measurement cell, i.e., that the cell has the claimed cavity, blocks, and polymeric bars of claims 2-9 and 24 in addition to the ones claimed in claim 1.

In claim 5, it is not clear what "its: is referring to in line 2, i.e., is it the lateral walls of the cavity, the internal blocks, or the external blocks?

In claims 7 and 8, there is lack of antecedent basis in the claims for "the external metallic blocks".

In claim 10, it is not clear which of the plurality of sensors is being referred to by "said sensor" in line 2. Furthermore, there is lack of antecedent basis in the claim for a sensor being close to a face of the cavity as claimed in lines 3-4.

In claims 2-20, it is not clear how the cell is 'characterized' by/due to the cavity, the unit maintaining the sensor stable or due to the unit being reusable, replaceable, or removable, or having tubes, as claimed.

In claims 21-24, there is lack of antecedent basis in the claims for a "diffusivity curve".

Lastly, claims 1-24 contain numerous spelling and punctuation errors throughout, including run-on sentences, which make the claimed structure unclear. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/775,822 Page 5

Art Unit: 2859

6. Claims 21-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete

for omitting essential steps, such omission amounting to a gap between the steps. See MPEP

§ 2172.01. Claims 21-24 claim a method for obtaining values for the thermal diffusivity of a

thermoplastic material, but fail to set forth any steps for performing the method. Accordingly,

since there are no method steps claimed and the subject matter as claimed is not clear, claims 21-

24 have not been further treated on the merits.

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 1-20 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s)

under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

8. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

The prior art of record does not disclose or suggest the following in combination with the

remaining limitations of the claims:

A measurement cell for an injection machine, the cell comprising a removable and

reusable unit of temperature sensors as claimed (see claim 1).

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure.

The following patents and publications disclose an injection-molding device:

U.S. Patent 5,525,050 to Takizawa et al

Application/Control Number: 10/775,822

Art Unit: 2859

U.S. Patent 3,850,559 to Mintz et al

U.S. Patent Application Publication 2004/0251570 to Sakamoto et al

U.S. Patent Application Publication 2004/0115294 to Moran et al

Japanese Patent 62035824 to Suganuma

Japanese Patent 62035819 to Akiyama et al

Japanese Patent 61171316 to Araki et al

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mirellys Jagan whose telephone number is 571-272-2247. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 11AM to 5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Diego Gutierrez can be reached on 571-272-2245. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MJ

March 18, 2005

6 Welliste

Page 6

GAIL VERBITSKY PRIMARY EXAMINER Application/Control Number: 10/775,822

Art Unit: 2859

Page 7